

REMARKS


In the Office Action, the Examiner provided an election of species requirement for claims 1-21 and 39-45, because the claims are directed toward patentably distinct species: (1) lens and (2) curved substrate. The Examiner further indicated that claims 1, 6, 7, 16, 17, and 18 are considered to be generic.

After careful review of the Examiner's election of species requirement, the Applicants elect to prosecute the claims corresponding to species (1) lens with traverse. Based on the Applicants' review of the claims, the Applicants agree that at least claims 1, 6, 7, 16, 17, and 18 are generic, and that claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-18, 39-42, and 44-46 read on the elected species (1) lens. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully request examination of claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-18, 39-42, and 44-46. If the generic claims are found allowable, then the Applicants further request reconsideration of the non-elected species (2) curved substrate, which corresponds to claims 5, 10, 19-21, and 43.

If the Examiner believes that a telephonic interview will help speed this application toward issuance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 22, 2006



Tait R. Swanson
Registration No. 48,226
FLETCHER YODER
P.O. Box 692289
Houston, TX 77269-2289
(281) 970-4545